

Tetrahedron 56 (2000) 10141-10151

# Asymmetric Desymmetrization of Saturated and Unsaturated meso-1,2-Diols

Hiromichi Fujioka,\* Yasushi Nagatomi, Naoyuki Kotoku, Hidetoshi Kitagawa and Yasuyuki Kita\*

Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, 1-6 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Received 27 November 1999; accepted 27 January 2000

Abstract—An asymmetric desymmetrization of saturated and unsaturated cyclic and acyclic meso-1,2-diols has been developed from the ene acetals, prepared from the norbornene carboxyaldehyde and *meso*-1,2-diols. The intramolecular haloetherification of the ene acetals as a key step afforded 8-membered acetals in a stereoselective manner just by the reaction of norbornene olefin even when the ene acetals from unsaturated meso-1,2-diols having olefins in the same molecule were used. Subsequent reductive elimination, followed by protecting the hydroxy group and transacetalization, gave optically active 1,2-diol derivatives and the starting ene acetals in good yields.  $\degree$  2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

# Introduction

Enantiodifferentiation of the  $\sigma$ -symmetric diols (including meso-diols) is an important area in organic synthesis. Many methodologies have been developed so far. They are widely divided into two groups. One is the group using enzymes as a tool.<sup>1</sup> The other is the group using chemical methods.<sup>2</sup> The chemical enantiodifferentiation of diols is also divided into three categories: (1) desymmetrization by the stereoselective cleavage of the acetals derived from  $\sigma$ -symmetric diols and chiral carbonyl compounds, $^{2a-i}$  (2) direct desymmetrization of  $\sigma$ -symmetric diols with a chiral reagent,<sup>2j-n</sup> and (3) direct desymmetrization of  $\sigma$ -symmetric diols in the presence of chiral sources.<sup>2o $-$ s</sup> Among these three methodologies, method (1) using chiral carbonyl compounds seems to be most reliable at this time, because the products obtained by cleavage of the acetal still have chiral unit and this chiral unit can help to purify the product in an optically pure state, when they are obtained in highly optically active but not optically pure forms (Eq. (1)).



Several methodologies using chiral carbonyl compounds such as menthon,  $\beta$ -ketosulfoxide compounds, and so on have been developed so far. However, the desymmetrization of acyclic meso-1,2-diols still remains a problem. We chose

Keywords: asymmetric desymmetrization; meso-1,2-diol; norbornene aldehyde; intramolecular haloetherification; ene acetal.

0040-4020/00/\$ - see front matter © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved. PII: S0040-4020(00)00859-0

to use a chiral aldehyde, chiral methyl norbornene aldehyde, as a chiral carbonyl compound and succeeded in discrimination of saturated and unsaturated not only cyclic meso-1,2-diols but also acyclic ones.<sup>3,4</sup> We present here the details of these studies.

# **Concept**

Recently, we have developed a new asymmetric synthesis of optically active 1,4- and 1,5-diols, where intramolecular haloetherification of chiral ene acetals, prepared from  $C_2$ symmetric optically active diols and optically nonactive ene aldehydes, is characterized as a crucial step. The reactions of the ene acetals proceed via oxonium ion intermediates  $(Eq. (2))$ .<sup>5</sup> This finding suggested us that if a large energy difference existed among the possible intermediates formed from the ene acetal derived from the proper chiral nonracemic ene aldehyde and symmetric meso-diol, the reaction may proceed through the most stable intermediate, resulting in the discrimination of the two oxygen atoms of the *meso*-diol (Eq.  $(3)$ ).



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.:  $+81-6-6879-8225$ ; fax:  $+81-6-6879-8229$ .



Scheme 1.



#### Result and Discussion

As a chiral non-racemic auxiliary, we chose methyl norbornene aldehyde 1. Our desymmetrization reaction cycle is depicted in Scheme 1. The cycle consists of four steps: (1) intramolecular haloetherification of the ene acetal (step  $a$ ), (2) dehaloetherification (step  $\boldsymbol{b}$ ), (3) protection of the alcohol (step  $c$ ), then (4) transacetalization with  $meso-1,2$ diol (step  $d$ ). This is very useful because transacetalization gives the optically active meso-1,2-diol derivatives with the starting ene acetals. This means the cycle works repeatedly once after obtaining the starting ene acetals. We selected (1R,2R,3S,4S)-3-methyl-5-norbornene-2-carboxaldehyde 1 as a chiral norbornene aldehyde for the following four reasons: (1) 1 is easily prepared by asymmetric Diels-Alder reaction, $6$  (2) acetalization would proceed stereoselectively to give the *cis*-isomer,<sup>7</sup> (3) a newly produced chiral center (correspond to  $*$  center in oxonium ion intermediate of Eq.  $(3)$ ) would be formed stereospecifically in the haloetherification because both of the aldehyde and the double bond are fixed, and most importantly (4) sterically rigid forms of the oxonium ion intermediates would be expected to create a large energy difference. We chose methyl norbornene aldehyde instead of norbornene aldehyde itself. One reason is point 1 above and another reason is to avoid the possibility of epimerization of the aldehyde during the reactions.

## Preparation of ene acetals from meso-1,2-diols

Ene acetals were prepared stereospecifically in good yields in every case from meso-1,2-diols 2 and 1 in the presence of a catalytic amount of prydinium  $p$ -toluenesulfonate (PPTS). p-TsOH or d-camphorsulfonic acid as an acid catalyst in place of PPTS decreased the stereoselectivity of acetalization. The stereochemistries of the acetals were determined by NOE experiments. It has been reported that five-membered acetalization tends to give the acetals with cis-orientation via anti-transoid oxonium ion intermediates.<sup>7</sup> In our cases, the bulkiness of the norbornene aldehyde skeleton realized such high stereospecificity. It is worthy noting that even the reaction of acyclic 2,5 dimethyl-3,4-hexanediol 2h, which is supposed to be disadvantageous in the formation of the acetal structure because of the repulsion between the two substituents, proceeds without any problem (Table 1).

## Intramolecular bromoetherification in Scheme 1 (step  $a$ )

This step is the most crucial step in our desymmetrization reaction cycle. We then investigated the reactivity and stereochemistry of this reaction using two acetals **9a,b** derived from meso-1,2-cyclopentanediol or meso-2,3-butanediol and commercially available racemic norbornene aldehyde 8. Intramolecular haloetherification with 2 equiv. of NBS in the presence of 5 equiv. of MeOH proceeded in good yields in the presence of 2 equiv. of  $\gamma$ -collidine to give the products 10a and 10b as a single isomer, respectively. As shown from the conformations of the intermediates, a large steric repulsion not only between the substituents and the bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane skeleton but also between the 1,3 dioxolane skeleton and the bicyclo[2.2.1]heptane skeleton is observed in endo isomer whereas such repulsion is not observed in exo isomer. X-Ray analysis of compound 10b revealed its structure, and the reaction proceeded via the expected preferable *exo*-intermediates followed by  $S_N2$ attack of MeOH (Scheme 2).

We then examined the intramolecular haloetherification of optically pure ene acetals  $4a-h$  from saturated meso-1,2diols under the same reaction condition as described



Scheme 2.



Scheme 3.

above. Reactions for all ene acetals 4a-h proceeded smoothly and gave 8-membered acetals  $5a-h$ . Yields of all 8-membered acetals 5a-h are shown in Table 2. The absolute stereochemistry of 5a was determined by conversion to the optically active 3a described below and comparison of its specific rotation  $[+16.6^{\circ}$  (c 1.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>)] with the reported value  $[+16.5^{\circ}$  (c 1.10,  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>)$ ]<sup>8</sup> and the X-ray crystallographic structure of 10b. The absolute stereochemistries of other compounds 5b-h were tentatively determined by assuming the same sense of diastereoselection as observed in 5a and also by mechanistic consideration (Scheme 3).

As mentioned above, our asymmetric desymmetrization was based on an intramolecular haloetherification reaction and worked very well. We next examined to apply this method to the ene acetals  $4i-1$  from unsaturated *meso*-1,2diols 2i-l having olefins in the same molecule, although the bromoetherification reaction of the ene acetals from



Scheme 4.



Scheme 6.

unsaturated diols is anticipated to cause competition between the intra- vs intermolecular route (Scheme 4).

In every case, strong kinetic control was observed, and the desired products  $5i-l$  by intramolecular reaction were obtained in extremely high yields. High selectivity in these bromoetherification reactions must be due to preference for the intramolecular reaction vs the intermolecular one in addition to the high reactivity of norbornene olefin to the bromonium ion. It is noteworthy that high selectivity was observed even in compound 4l. In this case, because the distance between the norbornene olefin and the acetal oxygen is the same as that between the diol olefin and the acetal oxygen and both olefins are under same situation for the intramolecular version, in other words each reaction proceeds via a 5-membered transition state, compound 4l was expected to proceed by two types of intramolecular haloetherification reaction, one with a norbornene olefin and the other with a diol olefin. This high reactivity of the olefin of the norbornene skeleton toward the bromonium ion is suited to our desymmerization method (Scheme 5).

#### Reductive debromoetherification

This step was examined in two ways,  $(1)$  debromoetherification of bromobenzyl ether, and  $(2)$  debromoetherification of an 8-membered mixed acetal. Method (2) was found to be effective for our purpose.

(1) Debromoetherification of bromobenzyl ether. For reductive debromoetherification, we first examined the reductive debromination of bromobenzyl ether 11, prepared from 8-membered acetal 5b by transacetalization with meso-1,2-cyclopentanediol 2b and protection of the hydroxy group as a benzyl ether. After several disappointing trials, we succeeded in reductive debromoetherification of 11 using 15 equiv. of Zn and 6 equiv. of  $MgBr_2:Et_2O$  in DMA to give the optically pure benzyl ether 12 along with the ene acetal **4b**. However, this condition failed in the debromoetherification of the corresponding compound 13 from 5e. The differences between the two reactions might be rationalized by the coordination of  $MgBr<sub>2</sub>$ , which aids the debromoetherification. That is,  $MgBr<sub>2</sub>$  can coordinate with two oxygen atoms in 11, because two oxygen atoms are fixed *cis*-orientation in 11. However, in acyclic case 13, the most preferable conformation of the two oxygen atoms is trans-orientation because of repulsion between the bulky norbornane skeleton and the benzyl ether.  $MgBr<sub>2</sub>$  cannot then coordinate with two oxygen atoms in 13 (Scheme 6).

(2) Debromoetherification of 8-membered acetal in **Scheme 1 (step**  $b$ **).** The above results suggested that the coordination of  $MgBr<sub>2</sub>$  to two oxygen atoms, one of which is the debromoetherified oxygen, is essential for the success of debromoetherification. We then studied reductive debromoetherification of 8-membered acetals 5a-l using 15 equiv. of Zn in the presence of additive, 6 equiv. of  $MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O$  for 5a-h or 6 equiv. of ZnCl<sub>2</sub> for 5i-l, in DMA (Scheme 7). The effects of the additives on the saturated diols ( $MgBr<sub>2</sub>$ ) and the unsaturated ones ( $ZnCl<sub>2</sub>$ ) are different to each other. The reason for this result is not clear at this time. Anyway, debromoetherification of every 8-membered acetals proceeded smoothly to give the corresponding acetal alcohols 6a-l in high yields. It is noteworthy that the debromoetherification reaction works well even towards acetal **5k** which has two acid labile allylic ether moieties. Yields are shown in Table 2.



Scheme 7.

## Protection of alcohol in Scheme 1 (step c)

Protection of the hydroxy group of  $6a-1$  as a benzyl ether by







Scheme 9.

usual way proceeded well to give benzyl ether  $7a-I$ (Scheme 8). Yields are shown in Table 2.

# Transacetalization with meso-1,2-diols in Scheme 1  $(\text{step } d)$

Transacetalization with one equiv. of *meso*-diols  $2a-1$  in the presence of a catalytic amount of PPTS afforded the optically active  $3a-1$  in good yields. At the same time, the ene acetals 4a<sup>-</sup>l (Scheme 9) were produced stereospecifically in good yields. Yields are shown in Table 2.

# Use of other protecting groups except benzyl ether

The advantage of this method was also proved by the proper choice of a protective group. For example, in the case of the diol 2g having a benzyl group, a silyl or acyl group can also be used as a protective group. Thus 6h was converted to silyl, acyl and *para*-methoxybenzyl compounds 15A<sup>9</sup>,B,C in good yields without any problem (Scheme 10).



Scheme 10. *a* For 14A: TBDPSCL imidazole, DMF (86%): For 14B:  $(PhCO)_2O$ , pyr (99%); For 14C: p-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Br, NaH, DMF (86%). b 2h (1 eq.), cat. PPTS,  $C_6H_6$ , rt {15A (91%), 4h (96%); 15B (89%), 4h  $(87\%)$ ; 15C  $(97\%)$ , 4h  $(90\%)$ .

## Conclusion

We have developed a new asymmetrization method for saturated and unsaturated meso-1,2-diols. Characteristic points of the method are (i) asymmetrization with extremely highly enantiomeric excess, (ii) wide applicability not only to cyclic saturated and unsaturated meso-1,2-diols but also to acyclic saturated and unsaturated ones, and (iii) high efficiency through out the asymmetrization reaction cycle.

# Experimental

NMR spectra were measured on 270 MHz and 500 MHz spectrometers with CDCl<sub>3</sub> as a solvent and with  $\text{SiMe}_4$  as an internal standard. All solvents were dried and distilled according to standard procedure.

#### Procedure for the preparation of aldehyde 1

To a solution of  $LiAlH<sub>4</sub>$  (1.73 g, 6.6 mmol) in THF  $(10.0 \text{ mL})$  was added  $(4S)$ -3- $((3'R, 4'R, 5'S, 6'S)$ -5'-methylbicyclo[2.2.1]heptene-4'-carbonyl)-4-(1-methylethyl)-2oxazolidinone (499 mg, 13.1 mmol) in THF (3.0 mL) at  $0^{\circ}$ C under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring at  $0^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, AcOEt, MeOH, and aqueous NH4Cl were successively added to the reaction mixture. The resulting solution was extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt (5/1) as an eluent to give (1R,2R,3S,4S)-3-methyl-5 norbornene-2-methanol (875 mg, 97%) in a pure state, whose structure was determined by the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum:<br><sup>1</sup>H NMP  $\geq$  6.22 (dd. 1H  $I=3.3$ , 5.6 Hz), 5.08 (dd. 1H <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.22 (dd, 1H, J=3.3, 5.6 Hz), 5.98 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.45 $-3.27$  (m, 2H), 2.86 (brs, 1H), 2.38 (brs, 1H),  $2.46-2.25$  (m, 1H),  $1.52-1.42$  (m, 2H),  $1.12$  (d, 3H,  $J=6.6$  Hz). Thus obtained  $(1R, 2R, 3S, 4S)$ -3-methyl-5norbornene-2-methanol (101 mg, 0.7 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$ (3.6 mL) was added to a solution of oxalyl chloride (0.14 mL, 1.6 mmol) and DMSO (0.23 mL, 3.2 mmol) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3.6 mL) at  $-78^{\circ}$ C under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring at  $-78^{\circ}$ C for 1 h, Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.50 mL, 3.5 mmol) was added to the solution, and the temperature was allowed to rise to rt, then aqueous  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting solution was extracted with  $Et_2O$ . The extract was washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ column chromatography with pentane-Et<sub>2</sub>O (25/1) as an eluent to give (1R,2R,3S,4S)-3-methyl-5-norbornene-2 carboxaldehyde 1 (73 mg, 73%). Compound 1 is fairly volatile and its structure was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR and IR spectra:<sup>10 1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  9.37 (d, 1H, J=3.3 Hz), 6.29 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.1$ , 5.6 Hz), 6.05 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.13 (brs, 1H), 2.56 (brs, 1H), 2.35±2.30 (m, 1H), 1.85±1.79 (m, 1H),  $1.59-1.45$  (m, 2H),  $1.17$  (d, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz); IR (KBr) 1721, 720 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

# General procedure for the acetalization of 1 with mesodiol 2 in Table 1

To a solution of *meso*-diol  $2(1 \text{ mmol})$  and norbornene aldehyde 1 (1 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added a catalytic amount of pyridinium  $p$ -toluenesulfonate at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. After being stirred for over 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous  $NAHCO<sub>3</sub>$ . The solution was extracted with AcOEt. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt as an eluent to give 4 in yields shown in Table 1.

#### Table 1. Acetalization of 1 with *meso-*diol (2)





# General procedure for the intramolecular haloetherification reaction of  $4$  (from  $4$  to  $5$ )

To a solution of 4 (1 mmol),  $\gamma$ -collidine (2 equiv.) and MeOH (5 equiv.) in  $CH_3CN$  (10 mL) was added N-bromosuccinimide (2 equiv.) at  $-40^{\circ}$ C under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to warm to rt under stirring. Completion of the reaction was examined by TLC. The solution was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, then extracted with AcOEt. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt as an eluent to give 5 in yields shown in Table 2.

5a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.72 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz), 4.42–4.39 (m, 1H), 3.81±3.70 (m, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.69 (brs, 1H), 2.11 (brs, 1H), 2.11-1.42 (m, 12H), 1.03 (d, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR <sup>d</sup> 111.93, 85.90, 59.71, 53.69, 52.36, 48.97, 42.07, 32.74, 30.42, 25.05, 24.85, 21.19, 20.63; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{25}BrO_3$ : C, 55.66; H, 7.03; Br, 23.14. Found: C, 55.25; H, 7.01; Br, 23.40.

5b. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.86 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz), 4.35 (m, 1H), 4.02 (m, 2H), 3.75 (m, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.61 (brs, 1H), 2.12 (brs, 1H), 1.95-1.45 (m, 10H), 1.03 (d, 3H, J=6.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR <sup>d</sup> 108.48, 86.94, 59.50, 53.87, 52.92, 48.48, 41.08, 32.80, 31.59, 28.48, 23.74, 22.66, 19.97, 14.18, 14.09; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{23}BrO_3$ : C, 54.38; H, 7.00; Br, 24.12. Found: C, 54.18; H, 6.72; Br, 24.01.

5c. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.67 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz), 4.44 (brs, 1H), 4.19– 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.74–3.67 (m, 1H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.39 (brs, 1H), 2.13 (brs, 1H), 2.03–1.22 (m, 16H), 1.00 (d, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  111.57, 85.73, 84.33, 80.00, 58.96, 54.90, 54.07, 51.84, 47.32, 42.19, 32.13, 27.91, 27.28, 26.65, 24.51, 23.22, 21.93, 21.12; HRMS m/z Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{29}BrO_3$ : 372.1301 (M<sup>+</sup>), 374.1294 (M<sup>+</sup>+2). Found: 372.1300  $(M^{\dagger})$ , 374.1280  $(M^{\dagger}+2)$ .

5d. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.91 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz), 4.36–3.70 (m, 8H), 3.35 (s, 3H),  $2.68-2.65$  (m, 1H),  $2.11$  (brs, 1H),  $1.92-1.46$  $(m, 4H), 1.03$  (d, 3H, J=6.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  107.84, 87.73, 79.08, 78.80, 71.84, 63.45, 59.00, 55.15, 53.71, 52.78, 48.20, 40.70, 32.81, 21.15; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{14}H_{21}BrO_4$ : C, 50.46; H, 6.35; Br, 23.98. Found: C, 50.39; H, 6.23; Br, 23.73.

**5e.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.72 (d, 1H, J=7.6 Hz), 4.43–4.37 (m, 1H), 4.00 $-3.80$  (m, 2H), 3.71 (t, 1H, J=2.8 Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H),  $2.60-2.50$  (m, 1H),  $2.12$  (brs, 1H),  $1.90-1.40$  (m, 4H),  $1.25$  $(d, 3H, J=6.9 \text{ Hz})$ , 1.12  $(d, 3H, J=6.6 \text{ Hz})$ , 1.02  $(d, 3H,$  $J=6.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  111.61, 85.77, 79.84, 76.44, 59.28, 54.79, 53.75, 52.27, 48.57, 42.03, 32.56, 21.15, 15.96, 10.16; HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>23</sub>BrO<sub>3</sub>: 319.0868 (M<sup>+</sup>), 321.0867 (M<sup>+</sup>+2). Found: 319.0864 (M<sup>+</sup>), 321.0844  $(M^+ + 2)$ 

5f. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.74 (d, 1H, J=8.3 Hz), 4.37 (brs, 1H), 3.71 3.69 (m, 3H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 2.55 (brs, 1H), 2.13 (brs, 1H),  $1.91-1.29$  (m, 12H),  $1.02$  (d, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz), 0.98 (d, 3H,  $J=6.3$  Hz), 0.93 (d, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  111.91, 85.82, 84.22, 80.41, 59.12, 55.53, 53.77, 52.15, 48.16, 42.12, 34.13, 32.47, 26.65, 21.42, 21.13, 20.04, 14.18, 14.13; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{31}BrO_3$ : C, 57.60; H, 8.32; Br, 21.29. Found: C, 57.41; H, 8.08; Br, 21.28.

5g. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.28 (m, 10H), 4.71 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz),  $4.63-4.37$  (m, 6H),  $4.20-4.03$  (m, 2H),  $3.89-3.42$  (m, 4H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.35 (2.36-2.33, 1H), 2.09 (brs, 1H), 1.78-1.26 (m, 4H), 1.00 (d, 3H, J=6.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.90, 137.38, 128.48, 128.39, 128.18, 127.92, 127.67, 127.57, 111.97, 85.86, 82.14, 76.44, 73.48, 73.12, 69.38, 65.59, 59.09, 55.22, 53.68, 52.31, 48.27, 41.91, 32.53, 21.15;

Anal. Calcd for  $C_{28}H_{35}BrO_5$ : C, 63.28; H, 6.64; Br, 15.03. Found: C, 63.07; H, 6.51; Br, 14.88.

**5h.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  4.67 (d, 1H, J=7.9 Hz), 4.39 (brs, 1H), 3.85– 3.60 (m, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 3.19 (brs, 1H), 2.60 (brs, 1H), 2.18 (brs, 1H), 2.01–1.45 (m, 8H), 1.17–0.81 (m, 15H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 107.15, 82.98, 80.41, 77.20, 55.20, 54.79, 53.71, 52.53, 47.26, 42.93, 32.02, 28.18, 27.66, 22.18, 21.33, 21.03, 19.36, 14.81; FAB-HRMS m/z Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{31}BrO_3$ : 374.1497 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found 374.1486 (M<sup>+</sup>).

5i. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  5.62–5.51 (m, 2H), 4.70 (d, 1H, J=7.6 Hz), 4.43 (m, 1H), 4.09 (m, 1H), 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.73 (t, 1H,  $J=2.8$  Hz), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.71-2.30 (m, 5H), 2.21 (brs, 1H), 1.93–1.47 (m, 4H), 1.04 (d, 3H, J=6.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 123.32, 122.91, 112.74, 86.20, 78.51, 74.59, 59.39, 54.83, 53.59, 52.02, 49.18, 42.34, 32.81, 31.04, 23.29, 21.17; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{23}BrO_3$ : C, 55.99; H, 6.75; Br, 23.28. Found: C, 55.78; H, 6.59; Br, 23.16.

5j. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  5.49 (m, 2H), 4.69 (d, 1H, J=8.1 Hz), 4.41 (brs, 1H), 4.25 (m, 1H), 3.77 (m, 1H), 3.69 (m, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.78-2.42 (m, 4H), 2.22-1.85 (m, 7H), 1.72 (m, 1H), 1.57-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.03 (d, 3H, J=8.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 130.82, 124.13, 112.78, 86.49, 86.38, 77.65, 59.46, 54.95, 53.75, 52.08, 48.34, 42.28, 32.46, 28.81, 27.46, 22.93, 22.63, 21.13; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{27}BrO_3$ : C, 58.22; H, 7.33; Br, 21.52. Found: C, 58.02; H, 7.13; Br, 21.71.

**5k.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.00–5.86 (m, 1H), 5.60–5.07 (m, 5H), 4.74 (d, 1H,  $J=7.9$  Hz), 4.26-4.17 (m, 3H), 3.66 (t, 1H, J2.8 Hz), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.40 (brs, 1H), 2.06 (brs, 1H), 1.83–1.40 (m, 4H), 0.97 (d, 3H, J=7.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 133.5, 130.9, 124.9, 116.4, 111.7, 86.1, 84.2, 82.1, 58.9, 54.8, 54.0, 52.3, 48.2, 42.0, 32.6, 21.2; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{16}H_{23}BrO_3$ : C, 55.99; H, 6.75; Br, 23.28. Found: C, 55.90; H, 6.65; Br, 22.89.

**5l.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  5.86 – 5.75 (m, 2H), 5.13 – 4.98 (m, 4H), 4.74  $(d, 1H, J=8.1 \text{ Hz})$ , 4.39 (brs, 1H), 3.79 – 3.70 (m, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.55 (brs, 1H), 2.30± 1.43 (m, 13H), 1.03 (d, 3H,  $J=6.8$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.7, 137.3, 115.7, 115.2, 111.9, 86.0, 83.5, 79.4, 58.9, 55.6, 53.7, 52.1, 48.1, 42.1, 32.5, 31.9, 31.1, 30.8, 23.7, 21.1; FAB-MS  $m/z$  399 (M<sup>+</sup>); FAB-HRMS Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{32}O_3Br$ : 399.1495 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found: 399.1523  $(M^{\dagger})$ .

# General procedure for the elimination reaction of 5  $(from 5 to 6)$

To a solution of  $5$  (1 mmol) in N,N-dimethylacetamide (10 mL) was added MgBr<sub>2</sub>·Et<sub>2</sub>O (6 equiv.) for  $5a-h$  and  $ZnCl<sub>2</sub>$  (6 equiv.) for 5i-l under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 30 min at  $60^{\circ}$ C, Zn powder (15 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was stirred at  $75^{\circ}$ C for about 12 h. After completion of the reaction checked by TLC, AcOEt was added to the solution, then filtered with celite for removal of precipitate. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$  column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt as an eluent to give 6 in yields shown in Table 2. Compounds 6

Table 2. Reaction cycle for asymmetrization. Yields of the products 5, 6, 7, 3, and 4 in every step of our asymmetric desymmerization, whose procedures were mentioned previously, are summarized. The optical purity of 3a-l was determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD)

Entry	Substr	Yield $(\%)$					ee of $3^a$ (%)
		5	6	7	3	4	
1	4a	99	83	95	90	99	97
$\overline{2}$	4b	86	86	93	90	93	98
3	4c	95	89	98	95	99	$\geq 99$
$\overline{4}$	4d	95	96	99	94	96	$\geq 99$
5	4e	89	93	96	99	95	97
6	4f	87	89	98	97	94	$\geq 99$
7	4 <sub>g</sub>	90	86	91	91	96	98
8	4 <sub>h</sub>	87	81	90	90	93	$\geq 99$
9	4i	97	85	99	90	83	$\geq 99$
10	4j	92	84	98	89	89	$\geq 99$
11	4k	91	85	79	97	83	$\geq 99$
12	41	98	84	91	97	84	$\geq 99$

<sup>a</sup> Determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD).

are rather labile and tend to give 4. Then their structures were ascertained by  ${}^{1}$ H NMR,  ${}^{13}$ C NMR, and IR.

6a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.8 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8 Hz), 3.99 (d, 1H,  $J=8.4$  Hz), 3.84 $-3.58$  (m, 2H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 2.80 (brs, 1H), 2.39 (brs, 1H), 2.29 (brs, 1H), 1.94 $-1.19$  (m, 12H), 1.14 (d, 3H, J=6.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 138.74, 132.83, 106.58, 76.12, 68.43, 52.17, 50.82, 48.90, 46.11, 44.85, 36.50, 30.44, 27.87, 23.24, 21.13, 20.29.

6b. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.8 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8 Hz), 4.03-3.91 (m, 3H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.81 (brs, 1H), 2.51 (brs, 1H), 2.50 (brs, 1H), 1.91-1.18 (m, 10H), 1.13 (d, 3H,  $J=5.9$  Hz).

6c. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.6 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.97 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.84 $-3.76$  (m, 2H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.70 (brs, 1H), 2.65 (d, 1H,  $J=2.6$  Hz), 2.39 (brs, 1H),  $1.91-1.20$  (m, 16H),  $1.14$  (d, 3H,  $J = 6.3$  Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR δ 138.81, 132.83, 106.68, 77.97, 71.18, 52.18, 50.82, 48.91, 44.83, 36.60, 29.18, 28.55, 26.99, 26.13, 25.30, 21.99, 21.17.

6d. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.25 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 6.1 Hz), 5.99 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.7$ , 6.1 Hz), 4.27 $-3.75$  (m, 6H), 3.97 (d, 1H,  $J=9.16$  Hz), 3.34 (s, 3H), 2.79 (d, 1H,  $J=3.05$  Hz), 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.41 (brs, 1H), 1.87-1.83 (m, 1H), 1.53-1.41  $(m, 2H), 1.26-1.19$   $(m, 1H), 1.14$   $(d, 3H, J=6.7$  Hz);  $^{13}$ C NMR δ 138.83, 132.78, 108.57, 74.25, 73.55, 70.53, 70.17, 53.86, 51.14, 48.68, 46.02, 44.62, 36.62, 21.15.

6e. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.6 Hz), 5.99 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.98 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.87 $-3.82$  (m, 1H), 3.70±3.66 (m, 1H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.80 (brs, 1H), 2.39 (brs, 1H), 2.29 (d, 1H,  $J=2.3$  Hz), 1.94 $-1.20$  (m, 4H), 1.19 $-1.11$  $(m, 9H);$  <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.80, 132.83, 106.94, 75.87, 69.04, 52.33, 50.84, 48.91, 46.09, 44.82, 36.53, 21.15, 17.45, 14.68.

6f. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.25 (dd, 1H, J=3.3, 5.6 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.99 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.70–3.66 (m, 1H), 3.62±3.56 (m, 1H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.80 (brs, 1H), 2.39 (brs, 1H), 2.31 (d, 1H, J=4.3 Hz),  $1.95-1.20$  (m, 12H), 1.14 (d, 3H,  $J=6.3$  Hz), 0.95 (t, 3H,  $J=6.9$  Hz), 0.94 (t, 3H,  $J=7.1$  Hz).

6g. <sup>1</sup>H NMR 7.27-7.18 (m, 10H), 6.12 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.1$ , 5.8 Hz), 5.88 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8 Hz), 4.54 $-4.40$  (m, 4H), 4.03 $-3.98$  (m, 1H), 3.92 (d, 1H, J=9.2 Hz), 3.73 $-3.54$  (m, 5H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.75 (d, 1H, J=4.6), 2.70 (brs, 1H), 2.29 (brs, 1H), 1.80-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.41-1.11 (m, 3H), 1.04 (d,  $3H, J=6.3$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.42, 137.99, 137.92, 133.33, 128.39, 128.34, 127.80, 127.73, 127.66, 108.19, 76.05, 73.46, 73.42, 71.00, 70.96, 70.12, 52.67, 51.04, 48.91, 45.88, 44.76, 36.28, 21.13.

6h. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.26 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.6 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.99 (d, 1H,  $J=8.6$  Hz), 3.48 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 3.6 Hz), 3.38 (s, 3H), 3.35 (dd, 1H,  $J=1.7$ , 3.6 Hz), 2.81 (brs, 1H), 2.39 (brs, 1H), 2.23 (d, 1H,  $J=2.0$  Hz), 2.02–1.20 (m, 8H), 1.17–0.78 (m, 15H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 138.78, 132.92, 105.32, 79.21, 76.82, 53.73, 51.45, 49.06, 46.22, 45.00, 36.41, 30.10, 27.73, 21.71, 21.22, 19.95, 18.83, 17.74.

6i. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.25 (dd, 1H, J=5.8, 3.1 Hz), 6.01 (dd, 1H,  $J=5.6$ , 2.6 Hz), 5.60 (m, 2H), 3.94 (m, 3H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.81 (brs, 1H), 2.36 (m, 6H), 1.92 (m, 1H), 1.44 (m, 2H), 1.22 (m, 1H), 1.13 (d, 3H,  $J=5.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.78, 132.85, 124.04, 123.70, 106.78, 73.19, 67.17, 52.63, 50.66, 48.90, 46.04, 44.78, 36.66, 31.66, 28.75, 21.13.

6j. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=5.8, 3.1 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=5.6$ , 2.6 Hz), 5.63 (m, 2H), 3.96-3.85 (m, 3H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 2.82 (brs, 1H), 2.58 (m, 2H), 2.38 (brs, 1H), 2.20 (d, 1H,  $J=4.6$  Hz),  $2.10-1.37$  (m, 9H), 1.20 (m, 1H), 1.13 (d, 3H, J=6.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.69, 132.99, 130.01, 128.99, 107.67, 79.48, 73.78, 52.54, 50.95, 48.95, 46.04, 44.85, 36.48, 32.17, 30.19, 23.24, 22.61, 21.15.

6k. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.24 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.6 Hz), 5.99 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.0, 5.6$  Hz),  $5.93-5.82$  (m, 2H),  $5.39-5.21$  (m, 4H), 4.23 $-4.10$  (m, 2H), 3.97 (d, 1H, J=9.2 Hz), 3.30 (s, 3H),  $2.82$  (brs, 1H),  $2.57$  (d, 1H,  $J=5.0$  Hz),  $2.39$  (brs, 1H),  $1.95-$ 1.86 (m, 1H),  $1.53-1.17$  (m, 3H), 1.13 (d, 1H,  $J=5.6$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 138.71, 136.17, 135.08, 132.94, 118.08, 116.73, 107.64, 80.29, 74.41, 54.00, 51.25, 48.74, 45.99, 44.55, 36.68, 21.19.

6l. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  6.26 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.8 Hz), 5.99 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8 Hz), 5.89 - 5.77 (m, 2H), 5.10 - 4.96 (m, 4H), 3.98 (d, 1H,  $J=9.0$  Hz), 3.71–3.59 (m, 2H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 2.80 (brs, 1H),  $2.38-2.09$  (m, 6H), 1.93 (m, 1H),  $1.73-1.22$ (m, 7H), 1.15 (d, 3H,  $J=6.3$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.68, 138.22, 138.08, 132.48, 114.79, 114.53, 106.28, 78.07, 70.99, 53.46, 50.88, 48.87, 46.05, 44.75, 36.50, 30.72, 30.36, 30.10, 28.58, 21.16.

# General procedure for the benzylation reaction of 6 (from 6 to 7)

A solution of 6 (1 mmol) and NaH (60% oil suspension, 3 equiv.) in THF-DMF ( $v/v=4/1$ , 10 mL) was stirred for 30 min at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. BnBr (3 equiv.) was added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt, then quenched with MeOH and  $H_2O$ . The resulting solution was extracted with AcOEt. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over  $MgSO<sub>4</sub>$  and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt as an eluent to give 7 in yields shown in Table 2.

**7a.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.29–7.18 (m, 5H), 6.02 (brs, 1H), 5.92 (brs, 1H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 4.05 (d, 1H, J=9.1 Hz), 3.73 (brs, 1H), 3.42 (brs, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 2.81 (brs, 1H), 2.27 (brs, 1H), 1.86 $-1.08$  (m, 12H,), 1.06 (d, 3H, J=6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 137.63, 134.12, 128.37, 128.16, 127.75, 127.60, 127.42, 127.19, 106.99, 78.19, 73.86, 72.09, 70.33, 51.30, 50.68, 49.11, 45.73, 45.02, 36.26, 29.71, 27.41, 22.21, 21.15; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 356.2351 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found:  $356.2315$  (M<sup>+</sup>).

**7b.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.38–7.31 (m, 5H), 6.12 (dd, 1H, J=2.9, 5.1 Hz), 5.98 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.1 Hz), 4.61 (s, 2H), 4.05 (d,  $1H, J=9.4$  Hz),  $4.00-3.99$  (m,  $1H$ ),  $3.78-3.77$  (m,  $1H$ ),  $3.29$  $(s, 3H)$ , 2.86 (brs, 1H), 2.35 (brs, 1H), 1.86-1.19 (m, 10H)1.12 (d, 3H, J=6.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.81, 134.00, 128.21, 127.66, 127.33, 107.31, 80.58, 76.41, 71.14, 52.08, 50.71, 48.99, 45.77, 44.87, 36.46, 28.99, 27.32, 21.21, 18.92; HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 342.2190 (M<sup>+</sup>), 343.2240  $(M^+ + 1)$ . Found 342.2195  $(M^+)$ , 343.2228  $(M^+ + 1)$ .

7c. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.37–7.26 (m, 5H), 6.11 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.6 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 4.59 $-4.56$  (m, 2H), 4.10 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.95 $-3.91$  (m, 1H), 3.64 $-3.61$  (m, 1H),  $3.31$  (s,  $3H$ ),  $2.86$  (brs, 1H),  $2.34$  (brs, 1H),  $2.11-1.18$ (m, 16H), 1.13 (d, 3H, J=6.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.77, 134.05, 128.40, 128.18, 127.78, 127.62, 127.49, 127.19, 107.46, 80.67, 77.00, 71.02, 51.38, 50.84, 49.13, 45.77, 45.00, 36.23, 30.75, 29.13, 27.24, 26.72, 23.33, 22.68, 21.15; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{36}O_3$ : 384.2720  $(M^+)$ . Found 384.2728  $(M^+)$ .

7d. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.39–7.27 (m, 5H), 6.16 (dd, 1H, J=3.3, 5.6 Hz), 5.96 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 4.67 (d, 2H,  $J=6.6$  Hz),  $4.25-3.83$  (m, 7H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.82 (brs, 1H), 2.37 (brs, 1H), 1.89±1.18 (m, 4H), 1.13 (d, 3H,  $J=5.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.11, 137.93, 133.60, 128.37, 127.84, 127.75, 107.75, 77.77, 73.60, 72.04, 70.41, 70.10, 52.65, 50.62, 48.90, 45.75, 44.71, 36.46, 21.15; HRMS m/z Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: 344.1991 (M<sup>+</sup>), 345.2038 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Found: 344.1987 ( $M^+$ ), 345.2021 ( $M^+$ +1).

**7e.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.34–7.31 (m, 5H), 6.20–6.10 (m, 1H), 6.03 $-5.95$  (m, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.05 (d, 1H, J=9.2 Hz), 3.77±3.74 (m, 1H), 3.50±3.47 (m, 1H), 3.32 (s, 3H), 2.86 (brs, 1H), 2.35 (brs, 1H), 1.23 (d, 3H,  $J=6.1$  Hz), 1.22 (d, 3H, J=6.1 Hz), 1.13 (d, 3H, J=6.7 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.06, 133.76, 128.27, 127.53, 127.35, 107.85, 78.42, 75.53, 71.02, 51.68, 51.09, 49.08, 45.86, 44.96, 36.23, 21.17, 17.33, 15.29; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 330.2195  $(M^+)$ . Found: 330.2182  $(M^+)$ .

**7f.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.38–7.29 (m, 5H), 6.12 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.8 Hz), 6.06 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.8 Hz), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.11  $(d, 1H, J=9.2 Hz)$ , 3.81-3.73 (m, 1H), 3.43-3.35 (m, 1H), 3.35 (s, 3H), 2.87 (brs, 1H), 2.34 (brs, 1H), 1.95±

1.10 (m, 12H), 1.14 (d, 3H,  $J=6.3$  Hz), 1.00 $-0.80$  (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ137.90, 128.21, 127.78, 127.67, 127.64, 124.26, 109.87, 85.72, 78.01, 77.20, 56.57, 52.90, 52.89, 51.63, 49.13, 45.05, 32.81, 29.69, 24.46, 21.08, 19.61, 14.31; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: 386.2820 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found 386.2830  $(M^+)$ .

7g. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.34–7.23 (m, 15H), 6.13 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.6 Hz), 5.95 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 4.73-4.50 (m, 6H), 4.05±3.65 (m, 6H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.33 (brs, 1H), 1.88 $-1.83$  (m, 1H), 1.47 $-1.10$  (m, 8H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 138.71, 138.38, 138.24, 138.06, 133.64, 128.27, 128.23, 128.16, 127.67, 127.55, 127.44, 127.33, 108.30, 78.78, 76.26, 73.24, 73.21, 72.69, 70.12, 69.87, 52.02, 51.09, 48.99, 45.81, 44.82, 36.12, 21.12; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{35}H_{42}O_5$ : C, 77.46; H, 7.80. Found: C, 77.64; H, 7.78.

**7h.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.40–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.20 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.8 Hz), 6.03 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.8$ , 5.8 Hz), 4.84 (d, 1H,  $J=11.2$  Hz), 4.50 (d, 1H,  $J=11.2$  Hz), 3.99 (d, 1H,  $J=8.6$  Hz), 3.50 $-3.46$  (m, 1H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.22 $-3.17$  $(m, 1H)$ , 2.88 (brs, 1H), 2.36 (brs, 1H), 2.02-1.20 (m, 8H), 1.17-0.90 (m, 15H).; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.40, 133.46, 128.21, 127.57, 127.28, 107.62, 86.90, 80.74, 74.04, 55.43, 52.80, 49.06, 45.95, 44.89, 36.07, 30.37, 29.42, 21.35, 20.88, 20.76, 19.37, 18.97; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{24}O_2$ : C, 77.68; H, 9.91. Found: C, 77.91; H, 9.83.

**7i.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.37–7.23 (m, 5H), 6.12 (dd, 1H, J=5.6, 3.0 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=5.5$ , 2.8 Hz), 5.60 (s, 2H), 4.68 (d, 1H,  $J=12.1$  Hz), 4.61 (d, 1H,  $J=12.1$  Hz), 4.16 (d, 1H, J9.3 Hz), 4.03 (m, 1H), 3.70 (m, 1H), 3.31 (s, 3H), 2.86 (brs, 1H), 2.36 (m, 5H), 1.91 (m, 1H),  $1.49-1.21$  (m, 3H), 1.12 (d, 3H, J=6.4 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.86, 134.02, 128.23, 127.48, 127.33, 124.38, 124.28, 107.37, 76.07, 71.68, 70.68, 51.29, 50.57, 49.09, 45.77, 45.03, 36.30, 31.25, 28.84, 21.13; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>30</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na: 377.2093  $(M^+ + Na)$ . Found: 377.2122.

7j. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35–7.26 (m, 5H), 6.18 (dd, 1H, J=5.6, 3.2 Hz), 5.97 (brs, 1H), 5.66 (m, 2H), 4.59 (brs, 2H), 3.96 (brs, 2H), 3.72 (brs, 1H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 2.83 (brs, 1H), 2.71 (brs, 2H), 2.36 (brs, 1H),  $2.11-1.21$  (m, 10H), 1.13 (d, 3H,  $J=6.4 \text{ Hz}$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  139.16, 138.13, 133.38, 130.20, 128.27, 128.10, 127.65, 127.50, 127.07, 126.98, 82.24, 79.17, 72.09, 71.50, 51.82, 51.10, 49.16, 45.95, 44.97, 36.31, 22.09, 21.47, 21.24; FAB-HRMS m/s Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{34}O_3$ Na: 405.2406 (M<sup>+</sup>+Na). Found: 405.2412.

**7k.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35–7.25 (m, 5H, Ph), 6.14 (dd, 1H, J=3.1, 5.4 Hz), 5.95 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.1$ , 6.1 Hz), 5.90 $-5.84$  (m, 2H), 5.37 $-5.19$  (m, 4H), 4.64 (d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H,  $J=12.2$  Hz), 4.13-4.09 (m, 1H), 4.04 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.84±3.79 (m, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.85 (brs, 1H), 2.34 (brs, 1H), 1.88±1.84 (m, 1H), 1.49±1.17 (m, 3H), 1.11 (d, 3H,  $J=5.9$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.04, 136.46, 135.08, 133.78, 128.21, 127.67, 127.37, 119.12, 117.17, 108.05, 83.27, 80.40, 70.33, 52.85, 51.34, 48.93, 45.81, 44.76, 36.35, 21.21.

**7l.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.37–7.25 (m, 5H), 6.11–6.05 (m, 2H), 5.88– 5.78 (m, 2H), 5.07 – 4.95 (m, 4H), 4.70 (d, 1H,  $J=11.4$  Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H,  $J=11.5$  Hz), 4.09 (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$  Hz), 3.79 (m, 1H), 3.43–3.35 (m, 4H), 2.86 (brs, 1H), 2.34–2.10 (m, 5H), 1.86-1.12 (m, 11H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 138.7, 138.6, 138.3, 137.9, 134.0, 129.0, 128.8, 127.8, 127.46, 114.86, 114.69, 107.89, 80.91, 76.07, 71.90, 53.26, 51.73, 49.11, 45.78, 45.06, 36.1, 33.6, 30.9, 30.4, 30.4, 29.2, 21.3; FAB-MS m/z 433  $(M^+$ +Na); FAB-HRMS Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>38</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na: 433.2697  $(M^+ + Na)$ . Found: 433.2708  $(M^+ + Na)$ .

# General procedure for the transacetalization reaction of 7 (from 7 to 3 and 4)

To a solution of 7 (1 mmol) and meso-diol 2 (1 mmol) in toluene (10 mL) was added a catalytic amount of pyridinium p-toluenesulfonate at rt under a nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for over 12 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous  $NaHCO<sub>3</sub>$ . The solution was extracted with AcOEt. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by  $SiO<sub>2</sub>$ column chromatography with hexane-AcOEt as an eluent to give 3 and 4 in yields shown in Table 2. Ee values of 3 were determined by HPLC analysis (Chiralpak AD, hexane $i$ -PrOH).

**3a.**  $[\alpha]_D^{25}$  = +16.6° (c 1.03, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.23  $(m, 5H)$ , 4.63 (d, 1H, J=11.5 Hz), 4.52 (d, 1H, J=11.5 Hz), 3.86 (brs, 1H), 3.52-3.51 (m, 1H), 2.26 (d, 1H,  $J=5.1$  Hz), 1.83 $-1.26$  (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.62, 128.43, 127.62, 127.55, 78.17, 70.15, 68.15, 30.42, 26.58, 22.10, 21.22; HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 206.1299 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found: 206.1307  $(M^{\dagger})$ .

**3b.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30} = +19.6^\circ$  (c 0.86, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.29  $(m, 5H), 4.60$  (d, 1H,  $J=11.7$  Hz), 4.55 (d, 1H,  $J=11.7$  Hz), 4.10 (m, 1H), 3.82 (dt, 1H,  $J=4.3$ , 6.6 Hz), 2.49 (d, 1H, J=4.3 Hz), 1.88-1.47 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  128.46, 127.78, 127.67, 81.38, 72.24, 71.56, 31.14, 27.89, 19.66; HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 192.1141 (M<sup>+</sup>), 193.1186  $(M^+ + 1)$ . Found: 192.1150  $(M^+)$ , 193.1184  $(M^+ + 1)$ .

3c.  $[\alpha]_D^{30} = +49.9^\circ$  (c 0.92, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.39–7.27  $(m, 5sH), 4.63$  (d, 1H,  $J=11.9$  Hz), 4.51 (d, 1H,  $J=11.5$  Hz), 3.97±3.92 (m, 1H), 3.64±3.59 (m, 1H), 2.57 (d, 1H, J=3.6 Hz), 2.03-1.40 (m, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  128.43, 127.66, 127.60, 80.88, 71.52, 70.82, 29.47, 26.81, 26.63, 25.52, 22.52; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{22}O_2$ : C, 76.88, H, 9.46. Found: C, 77.08; H, 9.47.

**3d.**  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +16.7^\circ$  (c 0.18, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.38–7.25 (m, 5H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.26-4.22 (m, 1H), 4.06-4.03 (m, 1H), 3.89±3.86 (m, 2H), 3.79±3.72 (m, 2H), 2.85 (d, 1H,  $J=5.8$  Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  137.14, 128.61, 128.19, 127.87, 78.26, 73.44, 72.56, 70.33, 69.99; HRMS m/z Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{14}O_3$ : 194.0931 (M<sup>+</sup>), 195.0986 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Found: 194.0943 (M<sup>+</sup>), 195.0976 (M<sup>+</sup>+1).

**3e.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30} = +23.1^\circ$  (c 0.13, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.25  $(m, 5H), 4.63(d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz), 4.51(d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz),$ 3.95±3.85 (m, 1H), 3.58±3.42 (m, 1H), 2.07 (brs, 1H), 1.18-1.12 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  128.43, 127.61, 127.58, 78.20, 70.71, 69.20, 17.65, 13.43; HRMS m/z Calcd for  $C_{11}H_{16}O_2$ : 180.1130 (M<sup>+</sup>), 181.1158 (M<sup>+</sup>+1). Found: 180.1150 (M<sup>+</sup>), 181.1184 (M<sup>+</sup>+1).

**3f.**  $[\alpha]_D^{31} = -8.5^\circ$  (c 0.38, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35–7.29 (m, 5H), 4.60 (d, 2H,  $J=11.6$  Hz), 4.55 (d, 2H,  $J=11.6$  Hz), 3.95±3.80 (m, 1H), 3.42±3.26 (m, 1H), 1.98 (s, 1H), 1.62-1.27 (m, 8H), 0.96-0.88 (m, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$ 128.43, 127.82, 127.71, 82.14, 71.92, 71.47, 34.13, 30.84, 19.41, 19.09, 14.23, 14.14; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{24}O_2$ : C, 76.23; H, 10.23. Found: C, 76.41; H, 10.14.

**3g.**  $[\alpha]_D^{31} = +17.0^\circ$  (c 0.01, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35–7.25  $(m, 15H), 4.72-4.52$   $(m, 6H), 4.00-3.86$   $(m, 1H), 3.78-$ 3.75 (m, 1H),  $3.71-3.59$  (m, 4H),  $2.59$  (d, 1H,  $J=4.9$  Hz),  $3.28$  (dd, 1H,  $J=3.3$ ,  $5.6$  Hz),  $2.05-1.87$  (m, 3H),  $1.04-0.90$ (m, 12H);  $^{13}$ C NMR  $\delta$  128.39, 128.34, 127.89, 127.82, 127.75, 127.66, 78.17, 77.22, 73.51, 73.41, 72.62, 70.98, 70.75, 70.14; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{28}O_4$ : C, 76.50; H, 7.19. Found: C, 76.77; H, 7.33.

**3h.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30} = -2.59^\circ$  (c 0.54, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.37–7.25  $(m, 5H), 4.60$  (d, 2H,  $J=4.3$  Hz), 3.52 (dd, 1H,  $J=4.0$ , 5.6 Hz), 3.28 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.3$ , 5.6 Hz), 2.05 $-1.87$  (m, 3H), 1.04-0.90 (m, 12H), <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 128.36, 127.57, 84.44, 76.17, 73.60, 29.24, 28.92, 20.99, 19.73, 17.61, 17.25; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{24}O_{2}$ : C, 76.23; H, 10.23. Found: C, 75.99; H, 10.05.

**3i.**  $[\alpha]_D^{27} = +45.8^\circ$  (c 0.30, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.29  $(m, 5H), 5.58$   $(m, 2H), 4.65$   $(d, 1H, J=12.1$  Hz $), 4.57$   $(d, 1H,$ J=11.9 Hz), 4.10 (brs, 1H), 3.68 (m, 1H), 2.31 (brs, 4H), 2.17 (d, 1H, J=4.0 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.44, 128.45, 127.69, 127.58, 123.83, 123.68, 75.89, 70.37, 66.76, 31.59, 27.21; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{13}H_{16}O_2$ : C, 76.44; H, 7.90. Found: C, 76.31; H, 8.06.

**3j.**  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -5.9^\circ$  (c 0.34, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.35–7.25 (m, 5H), 5.63 (m, 2H), 4.61 (d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz), 4.52 (d, 1H,  $J=11.7$  Hz), 4.06 (brs, 1H), 3.73 (dd, 1H,  $J=8.8$ , 4.0 Hz), 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.71 (m, 7H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  130.03, 129.36, 128.36, 127.49, 127.44, 82.37, 73.69, 71.32, 32.49, 28.81, 22.82, 22.25; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{15}H_{20}O_2$ : C, 77.55; H, 8.68. Found: C, 77.55; H, 8.80.

**3k.**  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +57.6^\circ$  (c 0.93, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.25  $(m, 5H), 5.91-5.74$   $(m, 2H), 5.42-5.19$   $(m, 4H), 4.66$  (d, 1H,  $J=11.9$  Hz), 4.41 (d, 1H,  $J=11.9$  Hz), 4.23 (brs, 1H), 3.85 (dd, 1H,  $J=4.1$ , 7.8 Hz), 2.28 (d, 1H,  $J=5.3$  Hz),  $^{13}$ C NMR δ 136.24, 134.21, 128.39, 127.75, 127.67, 120.14, 116.75, 83.06, 74.50, 70.33.

**3l.**  $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -14.2^{\circ}\text{C}$  (c 1.48, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.39–7.22 (m, 5H), 5.88-5.76 (m, 2H), 5.08-4.95 (m, 4H), 4.61 (d, 1H,  $J=11.6$  Hz), 4.53 (d, 1H,  $J=11.4$  Hz), 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.39 (m, 1H), 2.30 $-2.03$  (m, 5H), 1.76 $-1.48$  (m, 4H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 137.8, 138.8, 137.9, 128.8, 128.5, 128.3, 115.0, 81.0, 77.4, 71.5, 34.7, 33.5, 32.1, 29.7; MS (EI) m/z 260  $(M^{\dagger})$ ; HRMS Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: 260.1776  $(M^{\dagger})$ . Found:  $260.1776$  (M<sup>+</sup>).

## Data for the compounds in Scheme 10

**14A.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.72–7.07 (m, 20H), 6.14 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.6 Hz), 5.93 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz), 4.42 $-3.48$  (m, 11H),  $3.25$  (s, 3H),  $2.71$  (brs, 1H),  $2.34$  (brs, 1H),  $1.84-1.19$  (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 1.13–0.94 (m, 12H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  138.47, 138.33, 137.97, 136.30, 135.98, 133.87, 133.41, 129.56, 129.38, 128.19, 128.09, 127.57, 127.51, 217.44, 127.31, 127.22, 108.21, 77.22, 76.30, 73.53, 72.99, 72.76, 71.38, 70.26, 52.22, 51.27, 49.04, 45.82, 44.82, 36.21, 27.05, 21.17, 19.39; FAB-HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for  $C_{44}H_{54}O_5Si$ : 690.3734  $(M^+)$ . Found: 690.3740  $(M^+)$ .

**14B.** <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  8.17–7.19 (m, 15H), 6.17 (dd, 1H, J=3.0, 5.6 Hz), 6.00 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.0$ , 5.6 Hz), 5.61-5.58 (m, 1H), 4.56±3.53 (m, 4H), 4.18±3.69 (m, 7H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 2.76 (brs, 1H), 2.34 (brs, 1H),  $1.89-1.84$  (m, 1H),  $1.47-1.18$  (m, 5H), 1.11 (d, 1H, J=6.6 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  165.73, 138.22, 138.04, 137.99, 134.47, 133.57, 132.90, 130.51, 130.22, 129.70, 128.82, 128.27, 127.69, 127.55, 127.51, 107.94, 74.72, 73.39, 73.39, 73.28, 73.01, 69.78, 68.16, 52.27, 50.96, 49.00, 45.90, 44.76, 36.16, 21.10, 20.97, 14.14; HRMS  $m/z$  Calcd for C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>40</sub>O<sub>6</sub>: 556.2823 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found: 556.2824  $(M^{\dagger})$ .

14C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.34-7.20 (m, 12H), 6.85-6.80 (m, 2H), 6.13 (dd, 1H,  $J=3.3$ , 5.6 Hz), 5.95 (dd, 1H,  $J=2.6$ , 5.6 Hz),  $4.61-4.45$  (m, 6H),  $4.03$  (d, 1H,  $J=9.2$ , Hz),  $3.92-3.80$  (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.74±3.65 (m, 4H), 3.29 (s, 3H), 2.76 (brs, 1H); 2.33 (brs, 1H),  $1.88-1.83$  (m, 1H),  $1.47-1.18$  (m, 5H), 1.11 (d, 1H, J=6.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $\delta$  159.15, 138.11, 133.66, 129.34, 128.27, 127.73, 127.58, 127.49, 127.46, 113.60, 108.34, 78.31, 73.23, 72.35, 70.12, 69.87, 55.24, 51.97, 51.07, 49.02, 45.82, 44.84, 36.14, 21.15; FAB-HRMS m/z Calcd for  $C_{36}H_{44}O_6$ : 572.3138 (M<sup>+</sup>). Found: 572.3136  $(M^+).$ 

**15A.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  = +14.4° (c 0.93, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.68–7.10  $(m, 20H)$ , 4.49 (d, 1H, J=11.9 Hz), 4.44 (d, 1H, J= 11.9 Hz), 4.26 (d, 1H,  $J=11.6$  Hz), 4.19 (d, 1H,  $J=$ 11.9 Hz), 4.00 (m, 1H),  $3.94-3.89$  (m, 1H),  $3.65-3.56$  (m, 2H), 3.47 (d, 2H,  $J=4.3$  Hz), 2.61 (d, 1H,  $J=4.6$  Hz), 1.02 (s, 9H); 13C NMR <sup>d</sup> 135.99, 135.80, 129.70, 129.54, 128.34, 128.19, 127.75, 127.60, 127.55, 127.42, 73.26, 73.01, 72.78, 72.26, 71.39, 71.12, 26.97, 19.41; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{34}H_{40}O_4Si$ : C, 75.52; H, 7.46. Found: C, 75.59; H, 7.54.

**15B.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  = +18.7° (c 1.79, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.91–7.09  $(m, 15H), 5.21-5.15$   $(m, 1H), 4.48-4.36$   $(m, 4H), 4.11-$ 4.07 (m, 1H),  $3.81-3.67$  (m, 2H),  $3.53-3.42$  (m, 2H), 2.71 (brs, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 165.82, 137.81, 137.66, 133.10, 129.97, 129.78, 128.37, 127.76, 127.67, 127.60, 73.44, 73.33, 73.03, 70.68, 69.88, 68.88; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{25}H_{26}O_5$ : C, 73.87; H, 6.45. Found: C, 73.59; H, 6.59.

**15C.**  $[\alpha]_D^{30}$  = +18.4° (c 0.50, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $\delta$  7.36–7.24 (m, 10H), 7.21-7.17 (m, 2H), 6.90-6.81 (m, 2H), 4.64-4.47 (m, 6H), 3.96-3.80 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.77-3.72 (m, 1H), 3.68–3.56 (m, 4H), 2.60 (d, 1H, J=5.5 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR δ 157.50, 138.13, 138.01, 130.40, 129.52, 128.36, 127.78, 127.71, 127.64, 113.73, 77.65, 73.46, 73.35, 72.20, 70.96, 70.73, 70.14, 55.24; Anal. Calcd for  $C_{26}H_{30}O_5$ : C, 73.91; H, 7.16. Found: C, 73.63; H, 7.25.

#### HPLC analysis data for  $3a-h$  and  $15B$ , C

Ee values of the optically active  $3a-h$  shown in Table 2 and 15B,C in Scheme 10 were determined by comparison with their racemic ones using Daicel Chiralpak AD as a chiral



column (UV detector: at 259 nm for benzyl compounds 3a–3l, at 227 nm for benzoyl ester 15B, and at 275 nm for 4-methoxybenzyl ether  $15C$ ) at  $24^{\circ}$ C. Retention times shown by the optically active ones are written in the parenthesis.

#### X-Ray experimental data of 10b

 $C_{13}H_{21}BrO_3$ : M 305.20, crystal size 0.40 $\times$ 0.40 $\times$ 0.30 mm<sup>3</sup>, monoclinic, space group  $P21/n$ ,  $a=18.275$  (3), **b**=9.979 (2), **c**=7.703 (2) Å, **b**=101.33 (1), **V**=1377.4 (4) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z=4,  $D_{\text{calc}}$ =1.47 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, m (Cu, K-a) 37.83 cm<sup>-1</sup>, R=0.054, Reflection used 2222.

#### Acknowledgements

Financial support from Monbusho (Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, No. 706: Dynamic Control of Stereochemistry) and Promotion of Science (No. 10671989) are gratefully acknowledged.

## References

1. For examples, see: (a) Fadel A.; Arzel, P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 283-291. (b) Schoffers, E.; Golebiowski, A.; Johnson, C. R. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 3769-3826. (c) Theil, F. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2203-2227. (d) Banfi, L.; Guanti, G. Synthesis 1993, 1029-1056. (e) Santaniello, E.; Ferraboschi, P.; Grisenti, P.; Manzocchi, A. Chem. Rev. 1992, 92, 1071-1140. (f) Bolando, W.; Fröbl, C.; Lorenz, M. Synthesis 1991, 1049-1072. 2. For recent examples for the stereoselective cleavage of the acetals, see: (a) Kinugasa, M.; Harada, T.; Oku, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 9067. (b) Davis, A. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 591-594. (c) Maezaki, N.; Sakamoto, A.; Matsumori, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Iwata, C. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 6527-6546. (d) Prasad, K.; Underwood, R. L.; Repic, O. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 384-385. (e) Maezaki, N.; Soejima, M.; Sakamoto, A.; Sakamoto, I.; Matsumori, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Ishida, T.; In, Y.; Iwata, C. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1996, 7, 29Y.32. (f) Maezaki, N.; Soejima, M.; Takeda, M.; Sakamoto, A.; Tanaka, T.; Iwata, C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 1345Y.1346. (g) Suemune, H.; Watanabe, K.; Kato, K.; Sakai, K. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1767Y.1770. (h) Harada, T.; Oku, A. Synlett 1994, 95104. (i) Harada, T.; Wada, I.; Oku, A. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2599-2605. For recent examples for desymmetrization of s-symmetric diols with a chiral reagent, see: (j) Yamada, S.; Katsumata, H. Chem. Lett. 1998, 995-996. (k) Appelt, A.; Willis, A. C.; Wild, S. B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 938-940. (l) Mukaiyama, T.; Tomioka, I.; Shimizu, M. Chem. Lett. 1984, 49±52. (m) Mukaiyama, T.; Tanabe, Y.; Shimizu, M. Chem. Lett. 1984, 401-404. (n) Nara, M.; Terashima, S.; Yamada, S. Tetrahedron  $1980, 36, 3161-3170$ . For recent examples for desymmetrization with chiral sources, see: (o) Oriyama, T.; Imai, K.; Hosoya, T.; Sano, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 397-400. (p) Vedejs, E.; Daugulis, O.; Diver, S. T. J. Org. Chem. 1996,  $61, 430-431$ . (q) Ishihara, K.; Kubota, M.; Yamamoto, H. Synlett 1994, 611-614. (r) Suzuki, T.; Uozumi, Y.; Shibasaki M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1593-1595. (s) Ichikawa, J.; Asami, M.; Mukaiyama, T. Chem. Lett. 1984, 949-952.

3. Fujioka, H.; Nagatomi, Y.; Kitagawa, H.; Kita, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 12016-12017.

4. Fujioka, H.; Nagatomi, Y.; Kotoku, N.; Kitagawa, H.; Kita, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7309-7312.

5. For intramolecular haloetherification of ene acetals, see: (a) Fujioka, H.; Kitagawa, H.; Matsunaga, N.; Nagatomi, Y.; Kita, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2245-2248. (b) Fujioka, H.; Kitagawa, H.; Nagatomi, Y.; Kita, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 7309±7315.

6. Prepared in three steps: (i) aymmetric Diels-Alder reaction of the commercially available N-crotonyl-(4S)-isopropyl-2-oxazolidinone and cyclopentadiene according to Evans's method (Evans, D. A.; Chapman, K. T.; Bisaha, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988,  $110$ , 1238-1256). (ii) LiAlH<sub>4</sub> reduction in THF at room temperature (97%). (iii) Swern oxidation (73%).

7. There are some reports showing that acetalization of an aldehyde and a cis-diol tends to form a cis-acetal as a major product under kinetic control. For review, see: Clode, D. M. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 491-513.

8. Naemura, K.; Takeuchi, S.; Hirose, K.; Tobe, Y.; Kaneda, T.; Sakata, Y. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1995, 213-219.

9. The ee value of silyl ether  $15A$  was determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis of its acetate because 15A was decomposed under the HPLC analysis conditions.

10. Compound 1 containing its exo-isomer is reported in (a) Ishihara K.; Gao Q.; Yamamoto H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 10412-10413. (b) Howarth J.; Helmchen G.; Kiefer M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 4095-4096.